

## Subject: Follow-Up & Formal Complaint – Officer Schiessler's Conduct & Renewal of No-Trespass Request

1 message

Ryan michaels <rtgmich@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 13, 2025 at 3:37 PM

Reply-To: rtgmich@gmail.com

To: "sshisler@berwickmainepolice.gov" <sshisler@berwickmainepolice.gov>

Cc: Timothy Towne <chief@berwickmainepolice.gov>, Arthur Capello - Berwick Town Manager

<townmanager@berwickmaine.gov>, Christine Alberi <ombudsman@cwombudsman.org>, opega@legislature.maine.gov, Denise Dunn <ddunn@berwickmainepolice.gov>

## Officer Schiessler.

I am following up on my call to dispatch yesterday requesting that a Berwick officer come to my home to take a complaint. No officer came. Instead, you called me, refused my request to respond in person, claimed you were busy, and refused to provide another date or time. You then hung up on me.

After you hung up, I called dispatch back to report that you ended the call in that manner and asked for another officer. I was told you were the only officer on duty but that the next available officer would come. No one ever came. Once again, my request was ignored, and I am now forced to spend my time and energy sending this email just to be taken seriously.

I am attaching and referencing additional documentation which directly refutes your prior claim in your police report that "there was never an incident" related to my no-trespass request concerning Chelcie Stewart. The attached screenshots of our text communications clearly show the following:

- 1. I explicitly set a boundary in writing, stating that I wished to communicate **solely in writing** and did not wish to have in-person contact.
- 2. Despite this, Ms. Stewart took it upon herself to show up unannounced at my home on more than one occasion, without coordination and contrary to my stated wishes.
- 3. This was not an emergency or a situation that required her presence it was to deliver paperwork and discuss matters that I had already made clear would only be addressed in writing.
- 4. This constitutes a clear violation of the boundary I set and, under the legal standard for no-trespass orders in Maine, qualifies as an "incident" because she entered my property without my consent after being expressly told not to.

This is only **one example** proving how wrong your statement in the report was. It is also further evidence that my original no-trespass request was not only reasonable but justified.

For the record, this additional evidence should **not** even be necessary. I have recorded proof of Berwick officers, on multiple occasions, stating that **anyone in Maine can request a no-trespass order against anyone for any reason or justification, and that police will enforce it blindly**. And that is exactly how this department has handled **every single** no-trespass order that has been issued against me.

Every no-trespass order I have been served with has been without reason or justification — not "often" without reason, but all of them without reason. And ironically, every single one that the Berwick Police Department has handed me was served without any scrutiny whatsoever, even when I requested that scrutiny. In fact, I have provided proof that many of those orders were unlawful, part of a targeted harassment campaign, and an intentional silencing attempt by OCFS. Yet, despite having that proof in your hands, you still served those orders without hesitation. That is how little scrutiny you chose to apply when the orders were against me.

Now, when the request comes from me, the approach is the exact opposite — immediate denial, heightened scrutiny, and even going behind my back to "investigate further" by contacting one of the very people I requested protection from. In this case, you contacted Christina Coderre, and as documented in your own report, you chose to discuss my constitutionally protected social media advocacy with her — advocacy which, at the time, was specifically raising awareness about the injustices being perpetuated not only by OCFS (the very agency Christina Coderre works for) but

also by the Berwick Police Department (the very department you work for). The bias at play here is blatant, and the conflict of interest is undeniable.

This is not equal application of the standard. It is selective enforcement, and it is happening in plain sight.

Now that you are in possession of this additional information, I expect you to act on it. I am formally renewing my notrespass request against Chelcie Stewart and asking that you serve her with this order **immediately**, as I originally requested and am now requesting again with added context. The facts are clear, the violation is documented, and the standard has already been established by your own department's actions when the request is made by anyone else.

I expect written confirmation that this request will be acted upon without further delay.

**P.S.** The matters outlined in this email are **separate** from the complaint I intend to hand you when you — or another member of the Berwick Police Department — arrives at my home to receive it. That said, there are clear, actionable items in this message, and I expect them to be addressed promptly, openly, transparently, and with complete honesty.

Ryan Michaels





**1000028681.jpg** 677K



Police Report 2-28-25.png 547K